

Scoring Guide for Labs.

STATE STANDARD:

8.3S.1 Based on **observation** and science principles, **propose questions** or **hypotheses** that can be examined through scientific investigation. **Design** and **conduct** a scientific **investigation** that uses appropriate **tools, techniques, independent** and **dependent variables**, and **controls** to collect relevant **data**.

FRAMING (Introduction)

Learning Target	5	4	3	2	1
Create and/or Identify scientific QUESTIONS and HYPOTHESES in correct format with VARIABLES IDENTIFIED (reasoning)	Very Detailed and Descriptive, Clearly and Accurately Identifies variables Has Question and Hypothesis in Correct Format Adds Additional detail, Very Neat .	Mostly Detailed and Descriptive, Clearly and Accurately Identifies variables Question and Hypothesis Mostly in Correct Format Adds Some Additional detail. Mostly neat .	Somewhat Detailed and Descriptive, Accurately Identifies variables Question and Hypothesis Not In in Correct Format Missing additional detail. Somewhat neat .	Seldom Detailed and Descriptive, Accurately Identifies variables Question and Hypothesis Seldom In in Correct Format Missing additional detail. Not very neat .	Not Detailed and Descriptive, Does Not identify variables Question and Hypothesis incomplete . Not Neat - INCOMPLETE WORK

DESIGNING (Materials/Procedures)

Learning Target	5	4	3	2	1
Design and Conduct (or evaluate) an investigation with INDEPENDENT, DEPENDENT, and CONTROLLED VARIABLES using correct tools and techniques .(skill)	Very Detailed and Descriptive, Easily Followed Clearly and Accurately Identifies variables , Diagrams help clarify procedures and setup. A lot of trials/experimental groups, Very Neat	Mostly Detailed and Descriptive. Easily Followed Clearly and Accurately Identifies variables Diagrams help clarify procedures and setup. Enough trials/experimental groups. Mostly Neat and Organized .	Somewhat Detailed and Descriptive, Hard to Follow Hard to Identify variables Diagrams insufficient or may not help clarify. Insufficient trials/experimental groups. Not Neat	Not Detailed and Descriptive, Hard to Follow Does Not identify variables. Lacking helpful diagrams Not Neat - INCOMPLETE WORK	Does not show evidence of design or is to incomplete.

8.3S.2 **ORGANIZE**, **DISPLAY** and **ANALYZE** relevant data, construct an evidence-based explanation of the **RESULTS** of a scientific investigation, and communicate the **CONCLUSIONS** including possible **SOURCES OF ERROR**. Suggest **new investigations** base on analysis of results.

RESULTS (Data Tables/Graphs/Data Summary)

Learning Target	5	4	3	2	1
Organize and Display data in data TABLES and GRAPHS (product)	<p>PROF. PLUS... Exceptional # of trials, very neat, and organized. May have several ways of displaying data.</p> <p>May include summary of data in written form when appropriate.</p>	<p>Sufficient Data, Averaged Correctly, Organized Correctly, Titled correctly Axis labeled Units Included Scale of graph Best fit line(s) correct Neat</p>	<p>May be missing some of the following: Sufficient data. Organization is generally good. Variable on correct axis but might be forgetting labels or the scale is incorrect. May need best fit line or is not neat.</p>	<p>LACKING Sufficient data. Not organized Variables incorrect or placed in wrong spot. Scale missing or inappropriate.</p>	<p>Not able to make a data table or graph</p>

ANALYSIS (Conclusion)

Learning Target	5	4	3	2	1
Analyze data to make reasonable INFERENCES and recognize limitations of the experiment including SOURCES OF ERROR (reasoning)	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Relates detailed results to question or hypothesis.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Clearly communicates the relevant data. (rates and trends)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Comprehensive Inferences.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Very relevant sources of error and possible effect on results.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Relates the results of the investigation to other scientific information.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Describes further investigations based on analysis of results with justification.</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Relates results to question or hypothesis.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Communicates relevant data. (rates and trends)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> evidence-based inferences</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Possible sources of error and how these might affect the results.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Suggests relevant improvements of lab design and explains why this would improve lab.</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Partially relates results to question or hypothesis.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Communicates conclusions in a general manner (might not include #s from data or rates and trends not clear.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Constructs overly simplistic inferences</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> stated sources of error are irrelevant or overly formulaic.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Suggests relevant improvements to the investigation, but without justification(explanation as to why)</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Does not relate results to question or hypothesis.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Incompletely communicates conclusions.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Constructs a simplistic inference</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> stated sources of error are missing or irrelevant</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Suggested revisions are irrelevant to the investigation.</p>	<p>Analysis of lab is not complete or does not address the lab.</p>